



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/064,950

09/03/2002

Erin L. Conner

112231

4803

27074 7590 04/07/2009  
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.  
P.O. BOX 320850  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3629

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/07/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com  
jarmstrong@oliff.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/064,950	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CONNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jonathan Ouellette	<b>Art Unit</b> 3629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Ouellette. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) John Backenstose. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: New Proposed Claims 27-35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wasilewski and Official Notice.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Ouellette and Mr. Backenstose discussed the cited prior art and newly proposed claims 27-35 Examiner Ouellette stated that the the current rejection would stand , and that the newly proposed claims would not read over the cited prior art and official notice.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jonathan Ouellette/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629	
---	--